

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/05/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,701	07/02/2004	Akira Mitsui	0071-0593PUS1	2439
2292	7590 12/05/2006		EXAMINER	
	EWART KOLASCH	TRUONG, DUC		
PO BOX 74' FALLS CHU	7 JRCH, VA 22040-074	7	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1711	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\sim$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/500,701	MITSUI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Duc Truong	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communication INTHE from the mailing date of this communication INTHE from the mailing date of the mailing date of this communication INTHE from the mailing date of the mailing	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	September 2006.		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ T	his action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	•		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-32</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.		·	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		··	
application from the International Bure		C	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a I	ist of the certified copies no	t received.	
		·	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

## DETAILED ACTION

## Response to Amendment

The claimed process 16-19 and 23-26 are allowed in view of Applicant's arguments.

Claimed product by process 27-28 are also allowed because the Declaration II has been submitted to show the unexpected characteristic such as the claimed molecular weight distribution by the use of the claimed specific steps of the process.

Claims 20-22 and 23-26 are allowed, as stated in the last Office action.

Therefore, claims 16-32 are allowed.

Applicant's arguments filed 9/29/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The response submitted by Applicant does not overcome the rejection made by Examiner in the last Office action.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Braat et al (6,211,327) or WO 00/46273.

The rejection is maintained for the reasons as stated in the last office action and for the following reasons:

Applicant's arguments are based on the Declaration I to show the unexpected results such as the claimed molecular weigh distribution.

Application/Control Number: 10/500,701

Art Unit: 1711

Said arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive since that are based on the use of specific steps of the process which are not commensurate in scope with the claims, a claimed product by itself.

Note that the following position is taken because claims 1-15 are very broad in that limitation from the specification or Remarks as to how the low MW polyphenylene ether is produced to have the claimed MW distribution are not read into the claims.

The claims as presented are included within the broad teachings of the references since they read on a low MW polyphenylene ether produced from any methods and having the claimed characteristics in that the references do disclose at least the claimed intrinsic viscosity. Therefore, other characteristics such as MW distribution must be considered inherent in the prior art. That is why the Declaration I has been submitted to show the unexpected results. However, said Declaration I is based on the required steps of the process, which has not been claimed.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/500,701

Art Unit: 1711

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-1081. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DUCTRUONG

DRIMARY EXAMINER

Dic Moord

\*\*